



www.guildford.gov.uk

Contact Officer:

Sophie Butcher, Democratic Services Officer

26 January 2021

Dear Councillor

Your attendance is requested at a meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** on **WEDNESDAY 3 FEBRUARY 2021** at **7.00 pm**. This meeting can be accessed remotely via Microsoft Teams in accordance with the provisions of the Local Authorities and Police and Crime Panels (Coronavirus) (Flexibility of Local Authority and Police and Crime Panel Meetings) (England and Wales) Regulations 2020.

If for any reason Councillors lose wi-fi connectivity to the meeting and you are unable to re-join using the link on the Outlook Calendar invitation, please re-join using the telephone number +44 020 388 4748. You will be prompted to input a conference ID: 366 388 039#.

Yours faithfully

James Whiteman
Managing Director

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE

Chairman: Councillor Fiona White
Vice-Chairman: Councillor Colin Cross

Councillor Jon Askew
Councillor Christopher Barrass
Councillor David Bilbé
Councillor Chris Blow
Councillor Ruth Brothwell
Councillor Angela Gunning
Councillor Jan Harwood

Councillor Liz Hogger
Councillor Marsha Moseley
Councillor Susan Parker
Councillor Maddy Redpath
Councillor Caroline Reeves
Councillor Paul Spooner

Authorised Substitute Members:

Councillor Tim Anderson
Councillor Richard Billington
Councillor Dennis Booth
Councillor Graham Eyre
Councillor Andrew Gomm
Councillor Angela Goodwin
Councillor Steven Lee
Councillor Nigel Manning
Councillor Bob McShee

Councillor Ramsey Nagaty
Councillor Jo Randall
Councillor Tony Rooth
Councillor Will Salmon
Councillor Deborah Seabrook
Councillor Pauline Searle
Councillor James Steel
Councillor James Walsh
Councillor Catherine Young

QUORUM 5



THE COUNCIL'S STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK

Vision – for the borough

For Guildford to be a town and rural borough that is the most desirable place to live, work and visit in South East England. A centre for education, healthcare, innovative cutting-edge businesses, high quality retail and wellbeing. A county town set in a vibrant rural environment, which balances the needs of urban and rural communities alike. Known for our outstanding urban planning and design, and with infrastructure that will properly cope with our needs.

Three fundamental themes and nine strategic priorities that support our vision:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| Place-making | Delivering the Guildford Borough Local Plan and providing the range of housing that people need, particularly affordable homes |
| | Making travel in Guildford and across the borough easier |
| | Regenerating and improving Guildford town centre and other urban areas |
| Community | Supporting older, more vulnerable and less advantaged people in our community |
| | Protecting our environment |
| | Enhancing sporting, cultural, community, and recreational facilities |
| Innovation | Encouraging sustainable and proportionate economic growth to help provide the prosperity and employment that people need |
| | Creating smart places infrastructure across Guildford |
| | Using innovation, technology and new ways of working to improve value for money and efficiency in Council services |

Values for our residents

- We will strive to be the best Council.
- We will deliver quality and value for money services.
- We will help the vulnerable members of our community.
- We will be open and accountable.
- We will deliver improvements and enable change across the borough.

AGENDA

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND NOTIFICATION OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

2 LOCAL CODE OF CONDUCT - DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS

In accordance with the local Code of Conduct, a councillor is required to disclose at the meeting any disclosable pecuniary interest (DPI) that they may have in respect of any matter for consideration on this agenda. Any councillor with a DPI must not participate in any discussion or vote regarding that matter and they must also withdraw from the meeting immediately before consideration of the matter.

If that DPI has not been registered, you must notify the Monitoring Officer of the details of the DPI within 28 days of the date of the meeting.

Councillors are further invited to disclose any non-pecuniary interest which may be relevant to any matter on this agenda, in the interests of transparency, and to confirm that it will not affect their objectivity in relation to that matter.

3 MINUTES (Pages 13 - 22)

To confirm the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 6 January 2021 as attached at Item 3. A copy of the minutes will be placed on the dais prior to the meeting.

4 ANNOUNCEMENTS

To receive any announcements from the Chairman of the Committee.

5 PLANNING AND RELATED APPLICATIONS (Pages 23 - 24)

All current applications between numbers 19/P/01726 and 20/P/01936 which are not included on the above-mentioned List, will be considered at a future meeting of the Committee or determined under delegated powers. Members are requested to consider and determine the Applications set out in the Index of Applications.

- 5.1 **19/P/01726 - Land at, Church Street, Effingham, KT24**
(Pages 25 - 62)
- 5.2 **20/P/00793 - West Lodge, Blacksmith Lane, Chilworth, Guildford, GU4 8NQ** (Pages 63 - 74)
- 5.3 **20/P/00794 - West Lodge, Blacksmith Lane, Chilworth, Guildford, GU4 8NQ** (Pages 75 - 82)
- 5.4 **20/P/01174 - Land at the entrance to Effingham Place, Effingham Place, Guildford, KT24 5JT** (Pages 83 - 92)
- 5.5 **20/P/01463 - Field adjacent to Hook Wood, Old Lane, Cobham, KT11 1NQ** (Pages 93 - 104)
- 5.6 **20/P/01663 - Land adjacent to 28 Almsgate, Compton, GU3 1JG**
(Pages 105 - 118)
- 5.7 **20/P/01936 - 186 New Road, Chilworth, Guildford, GU4 8LX**
(Pages 119 - 128)

6 PLANNING APPEAL DECISIONS (Pages 129 - 136)

Committee members are asked to note the details of Appeal Decisions as attached at Item 6.

WEBCASTING NOTICE

This meeting will be recorded for live and/or subsequent broadcast on the Council's website in accordance with the Council's capacity in performing a task in the public interest and in line with the Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014. The whole of the meeting will be recorded, except where there are confidential or exempt items, and the footage will be on the website for six months.

If you have any queries regarding webcasting of meetings, please contact Committee Services.

NOTES:

(i) Procedure for determining planning and related applications:

1. A Planning Officer will present the Officer's report virtually by sharing the presentation on Microsoft Office Teams as part of the live meeting which all committee members will be able to see online. For members of the public, able to dial into the meeting, copies of the presentation will be loaded onto the website to view and will be published prior to the meeting. Planning officers will make it clear during the course of their presentation which slides they are referring to at all times.
2. Members of the public who have registered to speak may then address the meeting in accordance with the agreed procedure for public speaking (a maximum of two objectors followed by a maximum of two supporters). Public speakers will be sent an invite by the Democratic Services Officer (DSO) via Microsoft Office Teams to attend online or via a telephone number and conference ID code as appropriate to the public speakers needs. Prior to the consideration of each application which qualifies for public speaking, the DSO will ensure all public speakers are online. If public speakers cannot access the appropriate equipment to participate, or owing to unexpected IT issues experienced they cannot participate in the meeting, they are advised to submit their three-minute speech to the Democratic Services Officer by no later than midday the day before the meeting. In such circumstances, the Chairman or DSO will read out their speech.
3. The Chairman gives planning officer's the right to reply in response to comments that have been made during the public speaking session.
4. Any councillor(s) who are not member(s) of the Planning Committee, but who wish to speak on an application, either in or outside of their ward, will be then allowed for no longer than three minutes each. It will be at the Chairman's discretion to permit councillor(s) to speak for longer than three minutes. [Councillors should notify the Committee Officer, in writing, by no later than midday the day before the meeting of their intention to speak.] If the application is deferred, any councillor(s) who are not member(s) of the Planning Committee will not be permitted to speak when the application is next considered by the Committee.
5. The Chairman will then open up the application for debate. [Please see point 10. Councillor Conduct during remote access meetings of the Remote Meetings Protocol]. The Chairman will ask which councillors which to speak on the application and determine the order of speaking accordingly. Councillors should use the Chat function on MS Teams to indicate to the Chairman that they wish to speak. Note, that all messages put on the chat function are visible to all participants: Both the Chairman and DSO will keep a watch on the chat function to identify the order in which councillors wish to speak. At the end of the debate, the Chairman will check that all members had had an opportunity to speak should they wish to do so.
 - (a) No speech shall be longer than three minutes for all Committee members. As soon as a councillor starts speaking, the DSO will activate the timer. The Chairman will advise when there are 30 seconds remaining and when the three minutes has concluded;

- (b) No councillor to speak more than once during the debate on the application;
- (c) Members shall avoid repetition of points made earlier in the debate.
- (d) The Chairman gives planning officer's the right to reply in response to comments that have been made during the debate, and prior to the vote being taken.
- (e) Once the debate has concluded, the Chairman will automatically move the officer's recommendation following the debate on that item. If it is seconded, the motion is put to the vote. The Chairman will confirm verbally which councillor has seconded a motion. A simple majority vote is required for the motion to be carried. If it is not seconded or the motion is not carried then the Chairman will ask for a second alternative motion to be put to the vote.

In any case where the motion is contrary to officer recommendation that is:

- Approval to refusal, or;
- Refusal to approval;
- Or where the motion proposes additional reasons for refusal, or additional conditions to be included in any planning permission. The following procedure shall be followed:
 - Where the alternative motion is to propose a refusal, the proposer of the motion shall be expected to state the harm (where applicable) and the relevant policy(ies) to justify the motion. In advance of the vote, provided that any such proposal has been properly moved and seconded, the Chairman shall discuss with relevant officers and the mover and seconder of the motion, the reason(s), conditions (where applicable) and policy(ies) put forward to ensure that they are sufficiently precise, state the harm (where applicable) and support the correct policies to justify the motion. All participants and members of the public will be able to hear the discussion between the Chairman and the relevant officers and the mover and seconder of the motion. Following the discussion the Chairman will put to the Committee the motion and the reason(s) for the decision before moving to the vote. The vote will be taken in accordance with point 11 of the Remote Meetings Protocol.
- (f) A motion can also be proposed and seconded at any time to defer or adjourn consideration of an application (for example for further information/advice backed by supporting reasons).
- (g) Technical difficulties during the meeting (Point 12 of the Virtual Meeting Protocol will apply). If the Chairman or the DSO identifies a failure of the remote participation facility and a connection to a Committee Member is lost during the meeting, the Chairman will stop the meeting to enable the connection to be restored. If the connection cannot be restored within a reasonable time, the meeting will proceed, provided that it remains quorate. If the Member who was disconnected is subsequently re-connected and they have missed any part of the debate on the matter under discussion, they will not be able to vote on that matter as they would not have heard all the facts.

6. Unless otherwise decided by a majority of councillors present and voting by roll call at the meeting, all Planning Committee meetings shall finish by no later than 10:30pm.

Any outstanding items not completed by the end of the meeting shall be adjourned to the reconvened or next ordinary meeting of the Committee.

7. In order for a planning application to be referred to the full Council for determination in its capacity as the Local Planning Authority, a councillor must first with a seconder, write/email the Democratic Services Manager detailing the rationale for the request (the proposer and seconder does not have to be a planning committee member).

The Democratic Services Manager shall inform all councillors by email of the request to determine an application by full Council, including the rationale provided for that request. The matter would then be placed as an agenda item for consideration at the next Planning Committee meeting. The proposer and seconder would each be given three minutes to state their case. The decision to refer a planning application to the full Council will be decided by a majority vote of the Planning Committee.

GUIDANCE NOTE For Planning Committee Members

Probity in Planning – Role of Councillors

Councillors on the Planning Committee sit as a non-judicial body, but act in a semi-judicial capacity, representative of the whole community in making decisions on planning applications. They must, therefore:

1. act fairly, openly and apolitically;
2. approach each planning application with an open mind, avoid pre-conceived opinions;
3. carefully weigh up all relevant issues;
4. determine each application on its individual planning merits;
5. avoid undue contact with interested parties; and
6. ensure that the reasons for their decisions are clearly stated.

The above role applies to councillors who are nominated substitutes on the Planning Committee. Where a councillor, who is neither a member of, nor a substitute on the Planning Committee, attends a meeting of the Committee, he or she is also under a duty to act fairly and openly and avoid any actions which might give rise to an impression of bias or undue influence.

Equally, the conduct of members of any working party or committee considering planning policy must be similar to that outlined above relating to the Planning Committee.

Reason for Refusal

How a reason for refusal is constructed.

A reason for refusal should carefully describe the harm of the development as well as detailing any conflicts with policies or proposals in the development plan which are relevant to the decision.

When formulating reasons for refusal Members will need to:

- (1) Describe those elements of the proposal that are harmful, e.g. bulk, massing, lack of something, loss of something.
- (2) State what the harm is e.g. character, openness of the green belt, retail function and;
- (3) The reason will need to make reference to policy to justify the refusal.

Example

The proposed change of use would result in the loss of A1 retail frontage at Guildford Town Centre, which would be detrimental to the retail function of the town and contrary to policy SS9 in the Guildford Local Plan.

Reason for Approval

How a reason for approval is constructed.

A reason for approval should carefully detail a summary of the reasons for the grant of planning permission and a summary of the policies and proposals in the development plan, which are relevant to the decision.

Example:

The proposal has been found to comply with Green Belt policy as it relates to a replacement dwelling and would not result in any unacceptable harm to the openness or visual amenities of the Green Belt. As such the proposal is found to comply with saved policies RE2 and H6 of the Council's saved Local Plan and national Green Belt policy in the NPPF.

Reason for Deferral

Applications should only be deferred if the Committee feels that it requires further information or to enable further discussions with the applicant or in exceptional circumstances to enable a collective site visit to be undertaken.

Clear reasons for a deferral must be provided with a summary of the policies in the development plan which are relevant to the deferral.

APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION & RELATED APPLICATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

NOTES:

Officers Report

Officers have prepared a report for each planning or related application on the Planning Committee Index which details:-

- Site location plan;
- Site Description;
- Proposal;
- Planning History;
- Consultations; and
- Planning Policies and Considerations.

Each report also includes a recommendation to either approve or refuse the application. Recommended reason(s) for refusal or condition(s) of approval and reason(s) including informatives are set out in full in each report.

Written Representations

Copies of representations received in respect of the applications listed are available for inspection by Councillors at the plans viewing session held prior to the meeting and will also be available at the meeting. Late representations will be summarised in a report which will be circulated at the meeting.

Planning applications and any representations received in relation to applications are available for inspection at the Planning Services reception by prior arrangement with the Head of Planning Services.

Background Papers

In preparing the reports relating to applications referred to on the Planning Committee Index, the Officers refer to the following background documents:-

- The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, the Localism Act and other current Acts, Statutory Instruments and Circulars as published by the Department for Communities and Local Government (CLG).
- Guildford Borough Local Plan: Strategy and Sites 2015-2034.
- The South East Plan, Regional Spatial Strategy for the South East (May 2009).
- The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (March 2012)
- The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, as amended (2010).
- Consultation responses and other correspondence as contained in the application file, together with such other files and documents which may constitute the history of the application site or other sites in the locality.

Human Rights Act 1998

The Human Rights Act 1998 (the 1998 Act) came into effect in October 2000 when the provisions of the European Convention on Human Rights (the ECHR) were incorporated into UK Law.

The determination of the applications which are the subject of reports are considered to involve the following human rights issues:

- 1 Article 6(1): right to a fair and public hearing

In the determination of a person's civil rights and obligations everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law. Judgment shall be pronounced publicly but the press and public may be excluded from all or part of the hearing in certain circumstances (e.g. in the interest of morals, strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice.)

- 2 Article 8: right to respect for private and family life (including where the article 8 rights are those of children s.11 of the Children Act 2004)

Everyone has the right to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence. There shall be no interference by a public authority with the exercise of this right except such as is in accordance with the law and is necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others.

s.11 of the Childrens Act 2004 requires the Council to make arrangements for ensuring that their functions are discharged having regard to the need to safeguard and promote the welfare of children. Furthermore, any services provided by another person pursuant to arrangements made by the Council in the discharge of their functions must likewise be provided having regard to the need to safeguard and promote the welfare of children.

- 3 Article 14: prohibition from discrimination

The enjoyment of the rights and freedoms set out in the ECHR shall be secured without discrimination on any ground such as sex, race, colour, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, association with a national minority, property, birth or other status.

- 4 Article 1 Protocol 1: protection of property;

Every person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions. No one shall be deprived of their possessions except in the public interest and subject to the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law. However, the state retains the right to enforce such laws as it deems necessary to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest or to secure the payment of taxes or other contributions or penalties.

- 5 Article 2 Protocol 1: right to education.

No person shall be denied the right to education.

Councillors should take account of the provisions of the 1998 Act as they relate to the applications on this agenda when balancing the competing interests of the applicants, any third party opposing the application and the community as a whole in reaching their decision. Any interference with an individual's human rights under the 1998 Act/ECHR must be just and proportionate to the objective in question and must not be arbitrary,

unfair or oppressive. Having had regard to those matters in the light of the convention rights referred to above your officers consider that the recommendations are in accordance with the law, proportionate and both necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others and in the public interest.

Costs

In planning appeals the parties involved normally meet their own costs. Most appeals do not result in a costs application. A costs award where justified is an order which states that one party shall pay to another party the costs, in full or part, which has been incurred during the process by which the Secretary of State or Inspector's decision is reached. Any award made will not necessarily follow the outcome of the appeal. An unsuccessful appellant is not expected to reimburse the planning authority for the costs incurred in defending the appeal. Equally the costs of a successful appellant are not borne by the planning authority as a matter of course.

However, where:

- A party has made a timely application for costs
- The party against whom the award is sought has behaved unreasonably; and
- The unreasonable behaviour has directly caused the party applying for the costs to incur unnecessary or wasted expense in the appeal process a full or partial award is likely.

The word "unreasonable" is used in its ordinary meaning as established in the courts in *Manchester City Council v SSE & Mercury Communications Limited 1988 JPL 774*. Behaviour which is regarded as unreasonable may be procedural or substantive in nature. Procedural relates to the process. Substantive relates to the issues arising on the appeal. The authority is at risk of an award of costs against it if it prevents or delays development, which should clearly be permitted having regard to the development plan. The authority must produce evidence to show clearly why the development cannot be permitted. The authority's decision notice must be carefully framed and should set out the full reasons for refusal. Reasons should be complete, precise, specific and relevant to the application. The Planning authority must produce evidence at appeal stage to substantiate each reason for refusal with reference to the development plan and all other material considerations. If the authority cannot do so it is at risk of a costs award being made against it for unreasonable behaviour. The key test is whether evidence is produced on appeal which provides a respectable basis for the authority's stance in the light of *R v SSE ex parte North Norfolk DC 1994 2 PLR 78*. If one reason is not properly supported but substantial evidence has been produced in support of the others a partial award may be made against the authority. Further advice can be found in the *Department of Communities and Local Government Circular 03/2009* and now *Planning Practice Guidance: Appeals paragraphs 027-064 inclusive*.